

Examiner in that an embodiment of the invention was not elected pursuant to paragraph 3 on p. 3 of the Restriction. This oversight was due to the fact that p. 3 was missing from the Restriction in Applicants' copy.

Applicant hereby elects Invention I, Embodiment I, directed to claims 1-19 without traverse.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above, examination of the
present application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

SCHIFF HARDIN LLP
6600 Sears Tower
233 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 258-5000
CUSTOMER NO. 000128

By: Mark Bergner
Mark Bergner
Registration No.: 45,877
Attorney for Applicants

October 21, 2005

CHI\4374786.1